

## 7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

The purpose of this chapter is to describe the analytical methods that are available for detecting, measuring, and/or monitoring ammonia, its metabolites, and other biomarkers of exposure and effect to ammonia. The intent is not to provide an exhaustive list of analytical methods. Rather, the intention is to identify well-established methods that are used as the standard methods of analysis. Many of the analytical methods used for environmental samples are the methods approved by federal agencies and organizations such as EPA and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). Other methods presented in this chapter are those that are approved by groups such as the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) and the American Public Health Association (APHA). Additionally, analytical methods are included that modify previously used methods to obtain lower detection limits and/or to improve accuracy and precision.

### 7.1 BIOLOGICAL MATERIALS

When ammonia is found in biological materials at physiological pH (7.2), most of it (99%) will be found as ammonium ion, due to its  $pK_a$  of 9.2. This is an important consideration for any subsequent analysis. The determination of ammonia (as dissolved  $NH_3$  and ammonium ion) in blood, plasma, or serum is of value in detecting existing or impending hepatic coma and Reyes Syndrome (Meyerhoff and Robins 1980; Tietz 1970). The determination of ammonia in urine had historically been used as an indicator of the kidney's ability to produce ammonia; however, this procedure has been replaced by more modern and accurate tests for kidney function. Procedures for the determination of ammonia in biological samples are found in Table 7-1. Ammonia is also tested for in calculi (abnormal concretions in the body formed of mineral deposits, often found in the gall bladder, kidney, or bladder) (Tietz 1970); however, this is not a quantitative test and is not included in Table 7-1.

The ammonia content of freshly drawn blood rises rapidly on standing because of the deamination of labile amides such as glutamine (Henry 1964). At room temperature, the ammonia content can increase by a factor of two or three in several hours. Therefore, it is important to keep the specimen cold and perform the analysis as soon as possible. Alternatively, the sample should be frozen. The ammonia content of iced samples remains constant for 30–60 minutes for samples collected from a healthy person, but should be analyzed within 15 minutes in a patient suspected of suffering from liver disease (Huizenga et al. 1994). The ammonia content of iced samples remains constant for 20 minutes; the ammonia

**Table 7-1. Analytical Methods for Determining Ammonia in Biological Samples**

Sample matrix	Preparation method	Analytical method	Sample detection limit	Percent recovery	Reference
Urine	24-hour specimen, add HCl, refrigerate	Colorimetric (Berthelot reaction)	Not reported	Not reported	Teitz 1970
Urine	24-hour specimen analyzed immediately, or stored up to 8 weeks at -20 EC.	Indophenol reaction	Not reported	Not reported	Huizenga et al. 1994
Saliva	Freeze at -20 EC for up to 2 weeks, or for 1 hour at 4 EC, or analyze immediately	Membrane based ammonia- selective electrode	Not reported	Not reported	Huizenga et al. 1994
Serum, plasma, whole blood	Freeze, then store at -15 EC for several days, or ice (4 EC) for 30–60 minutes, or analyze immediately	Colorimetric assay based on indophenol production	Not reported	Not reported	Huizenga et al. 1994
Serum, plasma, whole blood	Freeze, then store at -15 EC for several days, or ice (4 EC) for 30–60 minutes, or analyze immediately	Titration	Not reported	Not reported	Huizenga et al. 1994
Serum, plasma, whole blood	Freeze at -30 EC or ice, or analyze immediately	Membrane based ammonia- selective electrode	Not reported	-7.0–14% error, 102% average recovery	Meyerhoff and Robins 1980

## 7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

content of frozen (-20 EC) samples remains constant for several days (Huizenga et al. 1994; Tietz 1970). Positive errors in ammonia levels may result from ammonia contamination of reagents or pick-up of ammonia from the atmosphere, including from technicians that have recently smoked a cigarette (Huizenga et al. 1994). In addition, bacterial action can lead to erroneously high values due to the hydrolysis of urea. This reaction is the chief cause for the formation of ammonia in unacidified urine on standing (Henry 1964). Therefore, use of sterile collection bottles for urine sample collection is recommended if there is potential for storage of the sample prior to analysis (Huizenga et al. 1994).

In the determination of ammonia or ammonium ion in biological samples, ammonia is first liberated by distillation, aeration, ion-exchange chromatography, microdiffusion, or deproteinization (Huizenga et al. 1994). Traditionally, Kjeldahl distillation methods have been used to determine ammonia levels in biological tissue, but other methods (e.g., colorimetric or ion-specific electrodes) are also available. In the Kjeldahl distillation, distilled ammonia is subsequently trapped in acid and analyzed titrimetrically or calorimetrically. High values sometimes result because of the cleavage of protein amino groups and also the formation of ammonia by deamination reactions (Parris and Foglia 1983). Other techniques use the ammonia-selective electrode and enzymatic assays. Discrepancies have been reported between results using electrodes and those using more specific enzymatic procedures because the ammonia electrode responds to both ammonia and volatile amines (Parris and Foglia 1983). Chromatographic separation of ammonia and volatile amines after derivatization have also been used to obtain specificity (Huizenga et al. 1994; Parris 1984). Ammonia in urine has been measured by Nesslerization, as well as by enzymatic assays and chromatographic approaches (Huizenga et al. 1994).

## 7.2 ENVIRONMENTAL SAMPLES

Water and waste water samples can be analyzed for ammonia by EPA Test Methods 1689 (EPA 2001a), 1690 (EPA 2001b), and 349.0 (EPA 1997). Analogous procedures (i.e., Method APHA 4500) have been approved and published jointly by the American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Pollution Control Association. These methods are suitable for drinking, surface, and saline waters, and domestic and industrial effluent, and can be applied to biosolids. These and other methods for determining ammonia in environmental samples are listed in Table 7-2. Ammonia is reported as ammonia nitrogen. Two methods that are suitable for water employ calorimetric techniques, Nesslerization, and phenate methods. Nessler's reagent, an alkaline mixture of mercuric and potassium iodide, produces a yellow to brown color with ammonia, whereas the phenate reagent, alkaline phenol,

**Table 7-2. Analytical Methods for Determining Ammonia in Environmental Samples**

Sample matrix	Preparation method	Analytical method	Sample detection limit	Percent recovery	Reference
Air	Passive collection using 0.01 N H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> in liquid sorbent badge	Method 6701, ion chromatography, conductivity detection	1 µg NH <sub>3</sub> /sample	No bias between 6.9 and 48 ppm; +19% at 148 ppm	NIOSH 1987
Air	Air samples from stack emissions collected through an in-stack filter to remove particulates and ammonium salts, and then bubbled through 0.1 N H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	EPA Method 30; ion chromatography	1 µg NH <sub>3</sub> /sample	98.5±1.3%. Bias of 0.996 ppm for a spiked sample of 6.43 ppm. Correction factor of 0.87 needs to be applied	Eaton et al. 1996
Air	0.8 µm prefilter may be used; ammonia trapped on sulfuric acid silica gel	NIOSH method 6015, Colorimetric determination of indophenol by visible light spectrophotometry	0.5 µg NH <sub>3</sub> /sample	not determined	NIOSH 1994
Air	0.8 µm prefilter may be used; ammonia trapped on sulfuric acid silica gel	NIOSH method 6016. Ion chromatography	2 µg NH <sub>3</sub> /sampler	102±3.8%	NIOSH 1996
Air	Chromatomembrane cells preextract and preconcentrate sample	Ion chromatography with conductivity detection	6 µg NH <sub>3</sub> /sample	Not reported	Erxleben et al. 2000
Air	Collection in H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> -coated activated carbon beads in sampling tube	Ion chromatography	2 µg/NH <sub>3</sub> sample	95–110% recovery	Bishop et al. 1986
Air	Known volume of air drawn through prefilter and H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> -treated silica gel	NIOSH S347, ammonia-specific electrode	Not reported	97.6% mean recovery	SRI 1988

**Table 7-2. Analytical Methods for Determining Ammonia in Environmental Samples (continued)**

Sample matrix	Preparation method	Analytical method	Sample detection limit	Percent recovery	Reference
Water	Sample mixed with borate buffer	Method 1689, ion selective probe	0.1 mg/L	Not reported	EPA 2001a
Water	Sample collected, preserved with H <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub> , and chilled to 4 EC. Samples should not be stored for greater than 28 days	Method 1690, colorimetric determination of indophenol blue, following reaction of any ammonia with alkaline phenol and hypochlorite	0.2 mg/L	Not reported	EPA 2001b
Water	None	Method 350.1 colorimetric, automated phenate	0.1 mg/L	107 and 99% recoveries at 0.16 and 1.44 mg NH <sub>3</sub> -N/L, respectively	EPA 1983
Estuarine and coastal water	Samples filtered through 0.45 µm membrane filter, refrigerated and analyzed within 3 hours	Method 349.0, automated colorimetric determination by reactions that form indophenol blue	0.3 : g/L	92.2–109.1% recovery, n=14	EPA 1997a
Water	Removal of residual chlorine with sodium thiosulfate, distillation	Method 350.2 Nessler reagent, colorimetric, titrametric; or ammonia specific electrode	0.05 mg N/L for colorimetric and potentiometric 1.0 mg N/L for titrametric	28.12 to -0.46R bias between 0.21 and 1.92 mg N/L	EPA 1983
Water	None	Method 350.3 ion selective electrode	0.03 mg N/L	96 and 91% recoveries at 0.19 and 0.13 mg N/L, respectively	EPA 1983

\*\*\*DRAFT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT\*\*\*

**Table 7-2. Analytical Methods for Determining Ammonia in Environmental Samples (*continued*)**

Sample matrix	Preparation method	Analytical method	Sample detection limit	Percent recovery	Reference
Soil, exchangeable ammonium	Extract soil with 2N KCl	Method 84-3, steam distillation with MgO, titration	Not reported	Not reported	Bremner 1965
Soil, nonexchangeable (fixed) ammonium	Pretreat soil with KBr-KOH, shake with 5 N HF-1N HCl for 24 hours	Method 84-7, steam distillation with KOH, titration	Not reported	Not reported	Bremner 1965

## 7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

and hypochlorite produce a blue color (EPA 2001a, 2001b; Greenberg et al. 1985). In the titrametric method, the distillate is titrated with standard sulfuric acid with an appropriate indicator. The ammonia electrode employs a hydrophobic gas-permeable membrane to separate the sample solution from an internal ammonium chloride solution, ammonia diffusing through the membrane changes the pH of the internal solution and is sensed by a pH electrode. For determining  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  concentrations above 5 mg/L, the titrametric and ammonia-selective electrode methods are preferred. In contrast, gas chromatography/mass spectrometry methods have been developed that permit  $\text{NH}_3$  detection at concentrations near 20 : g/L for environmental waters (Mishra et al. 2001). Methods for determining ammonia in water and soil measure ammoniacal nitrogen, the sum of  $\text{NH}_3$  and  $\text{NH}_4^+$ . In the determination of ammoniacal nitrogen in soil, exchangeable ammonium should be distinguished from nonexchangeable ammonium. The former is usually defined as that which can be extracted with KCl (or  $\text{K}_2\text{SO}_4$ ) at room temperature (Bremner 1965). Nonexchangeable ammonium ion is fixed nitrogen. In the determination of nonexchangeable ammonium, organic ammonium is first removed, the minerals containing the nonexchangeable ammonium are then decomposed with HF, and the  $\text{NH}_4^+$  is released. In calorimetric procedures, turbidity and sample color may lead to interference. To eliminate interference, the pH of the sample may be raised and the ammonia distilled. Care should be taken to prevent losses in water samples due to volatilization and microbial transformation. To prevent such losses, samples should be acidified soon after collection and refrigerated. Care should also be taken during storage and treatment of soil samples to prevent ammonia loss or gain. It has been demonstrated that dry soil can rapidly adsorb trace amounts of ammonia from the atmosphere and that extensive amounts of ammonia can be lost during air drying (Bremner 1965). Additionally, in samples containing both ammonium and nitrite, losses during air drying may occur due to the reaction between these ions and the resulting formation and release of nitrogen gas (Bremner 1965).

The detection limit of analytical methods for determining ammonia in air depends on the amount of air collected in a liquid or solid adsorbent. Sampling is performed with passive samplers or by drawing a volume of air through the adsorbent using a pump. Particulate contaminants such as ammonium salts may be removed by a prefilter. For ambient determinations, larger volumes of air must be sampled than those appropriate for occupational determinations where ammonia levels are higher. Methodological developments permit continuous monitoring of atmospheric ammonia down to 0.1 ppb (Pranitis and Meyerhoff 1987). Several passive monitoring systems report detection limits of 0.05–1.0 : g/m<sup>3</sup> and have collection rates ranging from 2.7 to 2,000 mL/minute (Kirchner et al. 1999). The former method employs a specially designed flow-through, ammonia-selective electrode with a sniffer tube, whereas the latter passive methods employ different types of collection media (usually acids impregnated onto filters)

## 7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

housed within a protective case. Ammonia concentrations on these passive collectors are then determined by a wide range of methods, including colorimetric assays (indophenol determination), the Berthelot reaction, or ion chromatography (Kirchner et al. 1999).

Ammonia may be present in air in both the vapor and particulate phase as ammonia gas and as ammonium salts. While analytical methods may distinguish between these phases, most standard methods do not. Methods have been developed that determine gaseous ammonia alone or gaseous and particulate forms of ammoniacal nitrogen separately. These methods use filter packs or sampling tubes coated with a selective adsorbent (denuder tube) to separate the phases (Dimmock and Marshall 1986; Knapp et al. 1986; Rapsomanikis et al. 1988). In these methods, gaseous ammonia is trapped by an adsorbent (e.g., citric acid, oxalic acid, phosphoric acid) on a coated filter or denuder tube (Kirchner et al. 1999). In filter methods, errors may arise due to ammonia interactions occurring on the filter and volatilization of retained ammonium salt (Dimmock and Marshall 1986; Rapsomanikis et al. 1988). There is evidence that ammonium nitrate in particulate matter is in equilibrium with ammonia, which would contribute to small positive errors for ammonia and small negative errors for ammonium (Doyle et al. 1979).

Many analytical methods may be used for the determination of levels of ammonia. A discussion of these methods is beyond the scope of this document. For a review of the methodology for determining ammonia in water and air, see MacCarthy et al. (1987) and Fox (1987), respectively.

### 7.3 ADEQUACY OF THE DATABASE

Section 104(i)(5) of CERCLA, as amended, directs the Administrator of ATSDR (in consultation with the Administrator of EPA and agencies and programs of the Public Health Service) to assess whether adequate information on the health effects of ammonia is available. Where adequate information is not available, ATSDR, in conjunction with the National Toxicology Program (NTP), is required to assure the initiation of a program of research designed to determine the health effects (and techniques for developing methods to determine such health effects) of ammonia.

The following categories of possible data needs have been identified by a joint team of scientists from ATSDR, NTP, and EPA. They are defined as substance-specific informational needs that if met would reduce the uncertainties of human health assessment. This definition should not be interpreted to mean

## 7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

that all data needs discussed in this section must be filled. In the future, the identified data needs will be evaluated and prioritized, and a substance-specific research agenda will be proposed.

### 7.3.1 Identification of Data Needs

**Methods for Determining Biomarkers of Exposure and Effect.** No known unique biomarkers for exposure or effects exist for ammonia. Until one has been identified, methodology for the determination of biomarkers must be preceded by an experimental determination of a unique biomarker of human exposure or effect.

**Methods for Determining Parent Compounds and Degradation Products in Environmental Media.** Methods for determining ammoniacal nitrogen in environmental media are well developed and adequate. Standardized methods are available from EPA, NIOSH, and other sources. Analytical methods are also well developed for oxidation products of ammonia. Since there are multiple sources of these compounds in the environment, their analysis is not generally used to study the disappearance of ammonia.

### 7.3.2 Ongoing Studies

The Federal Research in Progress (FEDRIP 2002) database provides additional information obtainable from a few ongoing studies that may fill some of the data needs identified in Section 7.3.1. These studies are summarized below and in Table 7-3. By and large, most of the studies that were reported were related to the detection and measurement of atmospheric ammonia concentrations. Many of these focused on the development of novel sensor devices, which would provide better data for estimating ammonia emission and deposition rates. A company in Atlanta, Georgia is developing an optical ammonia sensor to measure agricultural emissions. This sensor is capable of detecting ammonia concentrations at the 100 ppb range and above. Similarly, the Georgia Institute of Technology is developing an optical sensor that will permit measurements of ammonia in the atmosphere at the 100 ppb range. Another company in Burlington, Massachusetts is developing a diode laser absorption remote sensor for measuring ammonia at trace concentrations, but no detection ranges have been specified. Another company in Massachusetts is developing a solid-state electrochemical sensor that is based on ionomer (i.e., an ion-containing polymer) membrane technology. This technology, however, is not

## 7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

**Table 7-3. Ongoing Studies on the Development of Analytical Approaches to the Study of Ammonia<sup>a</sup>**

Investigator	Affiliation	Research description	Sponsor
Edwards J	Photonic Sensors, Atlanta, Georgia	Development of an optical ammonia sensor to measure agricultural emissions. This sensor is capable of detecting ammonia concentrations at the 100 ppb range.	Cooperative Agreement
Goldstein N	Spectral Sciences, Burlington, Massachusetts	Development of a diode laser absorption sensor for measurement of trace concentrations of ammonia, for potential applications in atmospheric chemistry and pollution monitoring.	U.S. DOE
Laconti AB	Giner, Inc., Waltham, Massachusetts	Development of a solid-state electrochemical sensor that is based on ionomer membrane technology for instrument monitoring where ammonia gas may have negative impacts.	SBIR
Walsh Jr. JL	Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia	Development of an optical ammonia sensor to measure agricultural emissions. This sensor is capable of detecting ammonia concentrations at the 100 ppb range, and would be useful for real-time monitoring of the injection of anhydrous ammonia fertilizer onto crops.	U.S. DOE

<sup>a</sup>Source: FEDRIP 2002

SBIR = Small Business Innovative Research; U.S. DOE = U.S. Department of Energy

## 7. ANALYTICAL METHODS

intended for atmospheric ammonia sampling, but for instrument monitoring where ammonia gas may have negative impacts. This particular application seeks to produce these monitors for use in fuel cell systems, where free ammonia can negatively impact performance.

